

United States Patent and Trademark Office



Cf

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,854	05/21/2002	Johannes Andreas Stoffers	2001-1017	2462	
466 7	590 01/15/2003				
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 2 ARLINGTON	3RD STREET 2ND FLC VA 22202	OOR	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/049,854

Office Action Summary

Art Unit

aminer

YVONNE M. HORTON

3635

Stoffers et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	eet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
THE	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	g date of this communication.					
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) I he application to becom	MONTHS from ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 20	:002				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	tion is non-final.	,			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair					
	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
	Claims					
	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office act	ion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗴				•		
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	.t			
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	'qqA ni b	lication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	-		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachm		priority arise.)0 0.0.c	2. 33 120 dilu/ol 121.		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO	0-413) Paper No(s)		
2) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			t Application (PTO-152)		
3)		6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/049,854 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities: "characterised", in claims 1-4, should be --characterized--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4,7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #4,671,025 to BUTLER. BUTLER discloses the use of a transparent roof assembly, column 2, line 22, including a longitudinal direction along (11,14) and a transverse direction (12,13) perpendicular thereto; wherein the assembly further includes a plurality of pairs of first surfaces (21-25) extending along the transverse direction (12,13) and meeting at an apex (H1-H7) and a

Art Unit: 3635

second pair of surfaces (26-38) extending along the longitudinal direction (11,14) and also meet at the apexes (H1-H7). The surfaces (21-25) extend at an angle (Θ) to the base (11,14) and the surfaces (26-38) extend at an angle (γ) to the base (11,14). Regarding claim 2, the longitudinal edges (11,14) and transverse edges (12,13) form a rectangle and the common apexes (H1-H7) are disposed above the rectangle formed by (11-14). In reference to claim 3, the first surfaces (21-25) touch the second surfaces (26-38) at the apexes (H1-H7). Regarding claim 4, the surfaces (21-25) and (26-38) are double walled in that they each include a base (B) and transverse links (T), see the marked attachment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,854

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

7. Claims 5,6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

Patent #4,671,025 to BUTLER. BUTLER discloses the basic claimed roof assembly except for

the distances from the base to the apexes, the specifics of the angles between the bases and the

surfaces, and the wall thicknesses. In regards to claims 5-6,9 and 10, It would have been an

obvious matter of design choice to select the distance between the bases and the first and second

surfaces for the suitability for the use intended. Perhaps if more reflection is required less

distance id required or vice-versa. In reference to claim 11, the selection of a particular angle

between the bases and the first and second surfaces is also an obvious matter of design choice

which would depend upon the suitability for the use intended. In reference to claim 12, the wall

thickness is also another matter of design choice that would vary to depend upon the desired

amount of reflection or light transmission. Regarding claim 13, the roof of BUTLER is provided

with coupling means (53,54), hinges (see figures 11 and 13) and nuts and bolts (column 4, line

47).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

YMH

January 13, 2003

U.S. Patent Jun. 9, 1987 4,671,025 Sheet 1 of 2 FIG. I <u>37</u> FIG. 3

<u> 26</u>

FIG. 2

